

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

4

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/779,799	02/08/2001	Andrew G. Avinger	34753/79317 6750		
7590 03/04/2004			EXAMINER		
Jeanne E. Longmuir			CHAN, KO HUNG		
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1400 McDonald Investment Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
800 Superior Avenue			3632		
Cleveland, OH	I 44114-2688	DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Common	09/779		AVINGER, ANDREW G.				
Office Action Summary	Examin	r	Art Unit				
	Korie H.		3632				
The MAILING DATE of this comr Period for Reply	nunication appears on t	he cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thi  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu-  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no- communication. rty (30) days, a reply within the s m statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the a ths after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tintatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 22 January 20	004.					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is						
closed in accordance with the pr	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to solution are subject to resolution.	is/are withdrawn from o						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 08 Februa Applicant may not request that any one Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu-	ary 2001 is/are: a)☐ a	) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner. I	Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	ГО-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None o  1. Certified copies of the prio 2. Certified copies of the prio 3. Copies of the certified cop application from the Intern	f: rity documents have be rity documents have be les of the priority docun ational Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office a	cuon for a list of the ce	runea copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(DTO 040)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/779,799 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The amendment filed 1/22/2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The recitation of the downwardly extending members forming the door hanger as being at the angle range of "90 degrees to 75.8 degrees" as discussed further below.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "22" has been used to designate both slide member 22 (see page 5, line 15) and bosses 22 and 23 (see page 5, line 28). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 1, 8-11, and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The term "through" in the recitation "... define an aperture **thorough**" found in each of the claims 1, 8-11, and 17 are misspelled and should be corrected to - - **through** - - .

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim R jections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/779,799

Art Unit: 3632

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9, 11, 12, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants recitation reference to the angles the downwardly extending members as being in the specific angle range of "90 degrees to 75.8 degrees" was not disclosed in original specification nor supported by the specification. Also regarding claim 16, "rearwardly facign hook member" on the second downwardly extending portion" constitutes new matter since it was not disclosed in original specification nor supported by the specification. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's claim that there is support for the new claims recitation by citing figure numbers and claim numbers. After a further review, there is no support for the current recitation of the downwardly extending members forming the door hanger as being at the angle range of "90 degrees to 75.8 degrees".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 7, line 13, "an aligned detent member" is vague for it is not clear whether this detent is the same detent members

Application/Control Number: 09/779,799

Art Unit: 3632

recited previous on line 5 of claim 7. Same recitation occurs in claim 11, line 16 and claim 17, line 11. Examiner suggest "one of said detent members aligned therewith" as a replacement language therefor. Similarly, in claim 8, lines 14-15, and claim 9, line 23, "an aligned recess" should be corrected to "one of said recesses aligned therewith". Regarding claim 10, line 12, "the adjustable engagement tab" lacks proper antecedent basis. If applicant means "resilient engagement tab", the applicant should be consistent with the language since there are other tabs recited. Inconcise reference to the same component makes the claim vague and indefinite. Regarding claim 12, "said door hanger" lacks antecedent basis. Regarding claim 13, "the second end of the second downwardly extending portion" lacks antecedent basis as well. Regarding claim 15, "said door hanger" lacks antecedent basis.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European patent publication (EP 0309142A1) to MILLEX, INC. MILLEX discloses a door hanger with a top portion (20) having an end with perpendicular extension being a first downwardly extending portion with forward hook (21) and second downwardly extending portion with rearward hook member (the flared portion at the end of 20) which is resilient. However, MILLEX does not disclose the resilient member (flange with the

flared portion) is angled from about 90 degrees to 75.8 degrees. MILLEX does show the resilient member at an angle deviating from 90 degrees at first. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide the resilient member at initial angle from about 90 degrees to 75.8 degrees such applicant has not disclosed such specific angles is critical and is advantage over other angles.

Claims 1-8, 10, and 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The allowability of claims 9, 11, and 12 cannot be determined at this time to due the new matter rejection discussed above.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Merlini, Hurrelbrink, Conley, Jr. Larson, Adams, Downing et al, Kramer, and Farrell teach hanger with inverted U-shaped hook.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Korie H. Chan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

khc March 1, 2004